1

2

4

5

7

9

1011

1213

1415

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2728

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JUH'JUAN WASHINGTON,

Petitioner,

v.

CALVIN JOHNSON, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02002-RFB-NJK

ORDER

On September 30, 2024, this Court granted 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petitioner Juh'Juan Washington's motion for counsel and appointed the Federal Public Defender to represent Washington in this action. ECF No. 10. On October 29, 2024, Ron Y. Sung of the Federal Public Defender's Office appeared as counsel on behalf of Washington. ECF No. 15. The court now sets a schedule for further proceedings in this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that counsel for petitioner meet with petitioner as soon as reasonably possible, if counsel has not already done so, to: (a) review the procedures applicable in cases under 28 U.S.C. § 2254; (b) discuss and explore with petitioner, as fully as possible, the potential grounds for habeas corpus relief in petitioner's case; and (c) advise petitioner that all possible grounds for habeas corpus relief must be raised at this time in this action and that the failure to do so will likely result in any omitted grounds being barred from future review.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner has 90 days from the date of this order to file and serve on respondents an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents have 45 days after service of an amended petition within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the amended petition. Any response filed should comply with the remaining provisions below, which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents in this

case be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the court does

not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum fashion in multiple

successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such

motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents should not file a response in

this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits,

except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If

respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they should do so

within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they should specifically direct their

argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d

614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, should be

included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must

be raised by motion to dismiss.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents must specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, when respondents file an answer or other responsive pleading, petitioner will have 30 days after service of the answer or responsive pleading to file and serve his response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by either petitioner or respondents be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed also must be identified by the number of the exhibit in the attachment. Each exhibit must be filed as a separate attachment.

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2627

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, at this time, the parties send courtesy copies of any responsive pleading and all indices of exhibits only to the Reno Division of this Court. Courtesy copies are to be mailed to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, NV, 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the outside of the mailing address label. No further courtesy copies are required unless and until requested by the court. **DATED:** November 7, 2024 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE